

Office of General Counsel and Secretary

Administration Building Knoxville 37916 Telephone 615 / 974-3245

February 15, 1974

\underline{M} \underline{E} \underline{M} \underline{O} \underline{R} \underline{A} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{U} \underline{M}

CONFIDENTIAL

TO:

Dr. Edward J. Boling /

Mr. John C. Baugh Dr. Joseph E. Johnson Mr. Charles E. Smith

Dr. Jack E. Reese

FROM:

Ronald C. Leadbetter

SUBJECT:

Proposed procedure and guidelines for use by the Special Committee of the Board of Trustees for the appeal of the

Gay People's Alliance for recognition

The hearing of the appeal for recognition by the Gay People's Alliance is scheduled for February 20 at 9:00 A.M. in the Board room. It is entirely possible that the hearing will last all day. All members of the Special Committee of the Board of Trustees plan to attend.

I am proposing that the hearing be <u>de novo</u>. The Committee will thereby be able to reach a decision based solely on the evidence presented at the hearing and will not be bound by statements of any members of University committees or officials. A <u>de novo</u> hearing will reduce the amount of evidence to be considered by the Committee, will eliminate the need for the University to <u>defend</u> previous actions taken, and should eliminate the risk of University officials who previously participated in the recognition proceedings being named as parties Defendant in any future lawsuit filed to challenge a possible negative ruling by the Committee.

It is planned that the Alliance be permitted to offer into evidence any testimony or material they see fit. The Alliance may (and plans to) have an attorney or advisor present at all times during the hearing.

After the Alliance has presented its "case" for recognition the Committee members and I will ask such questions as may seem appropriate in order to thoroughly determine the plans and intentions of the Alliance regarding proposed activities on campus should it be recognized.

THE AND INCOMES OF THREE MINERS.

CH (1923) - Alba Salasa Salasa Salasa Charles S. Colombility Salasa S. Colombility

.सङ्ग्रह्म १५५

Felgramy 15, 1974

ભાગન હાર કરાશે તે પ્રત્યાના મામલ લેવા છે. જે કરાશે છે ભાગમાં કે સુંસ્થિત માત્ર કુ જ્યારા ભાગમાં પ્રદેશ છે.

म्प्राचित्रका अस्तर । वर्षकार्ष्

WENCIFADDM

COMPIDEMNIAL

ace Dr. Bowerd J. Foling /

Mr. John C. Margh

Dr. Joseph E. Johnson

Mr. Charles E. Swith

Dr. Jack E. Resse

PPOM: Ronald C. Leadbetter

SUNDICU: Proposed procedure and guidelines for use by the Special Countil toe of the Poerd of Trustees for the Eppel of the Gay Escote's Alliance for recognition

The hoaring of the speed for recognition by the Gay Feople's Allience is scheduled for February 20 at 9:00 A.M. in the Board room. It is entirely possible that the hearing will last all day. It has been of the Special Committee of the Board of Trustees plant to attend.

i an proposing that the hearing be de novo. The Committee will thereby be able to reach a decision based solely on the evidence of the hearing and will not be bound by statements of the major committees or officials. A de novo nearing will reduce the amount of evidence to be considered by the Committee, will eliminate the need for the University to define considered by the provious retions taken, and should eliminate the recognition proceed officials the previously participated in the recognition proceed into being need as parties Defendant in any suctors lawsuit filed to the the research to challengt a possible negative ratios by the Committee.

It is planned that the Allience be permitted to offer into cridence thy testimony or material they are fift. The Alliance may (and plans to) have an attornay or advisor present at all times during the hearing.

Arter the Alliance has presented its "case" for recognition the Countition mediers and I will ser such questions as may seem the Countities in order to thoroughly determine the plans and intentitional of the Alliance regarding proposed activities on James should it he necognised.

Dr. Boling Page 2 February 15, 1974

During the "cross examination" of the various witnesses those not being cross-examined will be requested to wait outside of the Board room. Upon the completion of the cross-examination the person being questioned will be asked to leave the hearing area without communicating with those witnesses waiting to be cross-examined.

It is further proposed that after all testimony and materials have been presented at the hearing, the Committee will adjourn and consider the evidence presented.

As soon as the evidence can be fully reviewed a written decision will be prepared and delivered to the Alliance.

During the consideration of the evidence by the Committee I will offer such advice as will insure that the decision reached is based to the greatest extent possible on evidence and reasoning that can be defended in Court should a lawsuit develop from either an affirmative or negative ruling of the Committee on the Alliance's appeal.

If I have left any questions unanswered please let me know.